

**cc: USM-ED**

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
United States Attorney  
2 MACK E. JENKINS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
3 Chief, Criminal Division  
VALERIE MAKAREWICZ (Cal. Bar No. 229637)  
4 Assistant United States Attorney  
Major Frauds Section  
5 1100 United States Courthouse  
312 North Spring Street  
6 Los Angeles, California 90012  
Telephone: (213) 894-0756  
7 Facsimile: (213) 894-6269  
E-mail: Valerie.Makarewicz@usdoj.gov

8 AMANDA LISKAMM  
9 Director, Consumer Protection Branch  
MANU J. SEBASTIAN  
10 Trial Attorney  
Consumer Protection Branch  
11 U.S. Department of Justice  
450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 6400  
12 Washington, DC 20001  
Telephone: (202) 514-0515  
13 Facsimile: (202) 514-8742  
E-mail: Manu.J.Sebastian@usdoj.gov

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
19 Plaintiff,  
20 v.  
21 JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF,  
22 Defendant.

No. 5:23-CR-00021-JGB

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND  
FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME  
PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL  
ACT

CURRENT TRIAL DATE: 1/23/24  
~~PROPOSED~~ TRIAL DATE: 4/23/24

1 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding  
2 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of  
3 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the  
4 parties in this matter on January 11, 2024. The Court hereby finds  
5 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into  
6 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the  
7 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of  
8 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

9 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
10 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
11 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
12 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
13 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the  
14 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
15 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
16 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
17 diligence.

18 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

19 1. The trial in this matter is continued from January 23, 2024  
20 to April 23, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. The status conference hearing is  
21 continued to April 8, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.

22 2. The time period of January 23, 2024 to April 23, 2024,  
23 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
24 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
25 and (B)(iv).

26 3. Defendant shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the George E.  
27 Brown, Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 3470  
28

1 Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501-3801 on April 23, 2024 at 9:00  
2 a.m.

3 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
4 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
5 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
6 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
7 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of  
8 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
9 commence.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11  
12 January 19, 2024  
13 DATE

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

14  
15  
16 Presented by:

17 /s/  
18 VALERIE L. MAKAREWICZ  
19 Assistant United States Attorney  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28